

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,460	12/30/2003	Joerg Wohlfahrt	10808/117	3997	
48581	7590 05/23/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			BUI, BI	BUI, BRYAN	
INFINEON PO BOX 103	395		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			2863		
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/200	DATE MAILED: 05/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			#//
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1/
	10/749,460	WOHLFAHRT ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bryan Bui	2863	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory if  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may on. a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mostatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
· — ·	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un			is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-20 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3-5 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the specific structure.	accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abey correction is required if the drawi	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12 <sup>.</sup>	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	nments have been received. Iments have been received in Expriority documents have be Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application Noen received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/749,460

Art Unit: 2863

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the legal phraseology "comprises" used in patent claims. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Haraguchi et al (US 6650583). Hereafter as Haraguchi.

With respect to claims 1-2, Haraguchi teaches a method to analyze cells of a memory device (DRAM) comprising applying voltages according to a first test pattern to nodes of a memory cell and applying voltages according to a second test pattern to the

Application/Control Number: 10/749,460 Page 3

Art Unit: 2863

nodes of the memory cell (column 3, line 58 to column 4, line 4 and column 4, lines 14-22); analyzing fail data for the memory cell related to the first test pattern and the second test pattern (column 4, lines 14-22); identifying a type of failure (HL failure or LH failure) of the cell based upon the analysis of the fail data (column 3, line 58 to column 4, line 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Clinton et al (US 6330697). Hereafter as Clinton.

With respect to claims 1-2, Clinton teaches a method to analyze cells of a memory device (DRAM) comprising applying voltages according to a first test pattern to nodes of a memory cell and applying voltages according to a second test pattern to the nodes of the memory cell (figure 5, blocks 300, 301, 400, 401); analyzing fail data for the memory cell related to the first test pattern and the second test pattern and identifying a type of failure of the cell based upon the analysis of the fail data (figure 7, column 8, lines 38-52).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,460 Page 4

Art Unit: 2863

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In combination with other limitations of the claims, the prior art does not discloses the limitations as recited in analyzing fail data further comprise step of determining a fail signature of a cell.

8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Claims 6-20 are indicating allowable over the prior art of record because none of the prior art whether taken singularly or in combination to teach the claimed combination, especially when these limitations are considered within the specific combination as recited, particularly requires determining a fail signature of said plurality of cells; comparing said fail signatures of said plurality of cells to the plurality of fail signatures, and determining a type of failure of the cell based on the plurality of fail signatures (claims 6, 11); a control circuit varying the voltage applied to the cell, the control circuit comparing the failures of the cell as the test volates applied to the cell are varies to an artificial bit map (claim 16).

## Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2863

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bryan Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-2271.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 7am-4pm, and Alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John E. Barlow can be reached on 571-272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BB

5/19/2005

BRYAN BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER

1